

A Harmonic Layer Account of Levantine Arabic Syncope

Jochen Trommer

jtrommer@uni-leipzig.de

Universität Leipzig
Institut für Linguistik

OCP XVI, Verona
January 17, 2019

Introduction

Processes

- ▶ **Stress Assignment:** Stress penultimate σ if heavy
(or if $\neg\exists$ antepenultimate σ)
- ▶ **Syncope:** Delete a non-final high vowel in an open syllable

Data

(Brame 1974, Kiparsky 2000)

/fihim-na/ → [fhím-na] ‘we understand’

/fihim/ → [fíhim] ‘he understood’

/fihim-na/ → [fihím-na] ‘he understands us’

Classical Stratal-OT Analysis (Kiparsky 2000)

- ▶ Syncope only applies at a later stratum, but
- ▶ vowels stressed at the earlier stratum are preserved
- ▶ ⇒ crucially requires constraint reranking to postpone syncope

Central Goal of this Talk

Derive opacity without constraint reranking

by gradient representational changes

Analysis

Harmonic Layer Theory

- ▶ **Gradience:** Harmonic Grammar (Smolensky and Legendre 2006, Potts et al. 2010) with Gradient Symbolic Representations (Smolensky and Goldrick 2016)
- ▶ **Layers:** Morphological Strata (Stem Layer, Word Layer, ...) as in Lexical Phonology (Kiparsky 1982) and Stratal OT (Kiparsky 2000, Bermúdez-Otero 2012), but **without** stratum-specific constraint ranking/weighting

Gist of the Analysis

- ▶ Gradient representations can **mature** or **decay** across layers
- ▶ Early Affixation leads to **constancy of environments** and hence fosters both processes alike
- ▶ Syncope is a cumulative effect of iterative weakening

Overview

	Stem		Word
/fi _{0.5} .hi _{0.5} m/	⊕ na _{0.5} → fi _{0.4} .hí _{0.6} m-na _{0.5}		→ fi _{0.3} .hí _{0.7} m-na _{0.5} [fhímna]
/fi _{0.5} .hi _{0.5} m/	→ fí _{0.6} .hi _{0.5} m	⊕ na _{0.5}	→ fi _{0.5} .hí _{0.6} m-na _{0.5} [fihímna]
/fi _{0.5} .hi _{0.5} m/	→ fí _{0.6} .hi _{0.5} m		→ fí _{0.7} .hi _{0.5} m [fíhim]

Pronunciation Threshold

- ▶ $0 \leq \text{Segmental strength} \leq 1$
- ▶ All segments enter the derivation with **medium strength (= 0.5)**
- ▶ Segments with final strength ≤ 0.5 are not pronounced (**deleted**)

Constraints

- $\sigma\sigma$ Assign -1 to every PWord without penultimate stress
- $S(\check{V}) = 1$ Assign $1-s$ to every stressed vowel with strength s
- $S(\check{V}]_\sigma) = 0$ Assign s to every unstressed non-final open-syllable vowel of strength s
- $|\Delta S| \leq 0.1$ Assign -1 to every segmental output strength which differs from the corresponding input strength by more than 0.1

Subject-na: Optimization

Stem Layer:

Input: = $f_{i0.5}.h_{i0.5}m-na_{0.5}$	σ $w=\infty$	$ \Delta S \leq 0.1$ $w=\infty$	$S(\check{V}) = 1$ $w=1$	$S(\check{V})_\sigma = 0$ $w=1$	\mathcal{H}
a. $f_{i0.4}.h_{i0.6}m-na_{0.5}$			-0.4	-0.4	-0.8
b. $f_{i0.4}.h_{i1}m-na_{0.5}$		-2			∞
c. $f_{i0.5}.h_{i0.5}m-na_{0.5}$			-0.5	-0.5	-1.0
d. $f_{i0.5}.h_{i0.5}m-na_{0.5}$	-1		-0.5	-0.5	∞

Word Layer:

Input: = $f_{i0.4}.h_{i0.6}m-na_{0.5}$	σ $w=\infty$	$ \Delta S \leq 0.1$ $w=\infty$	$S(\check{V}) = 1$ $w=1$	$S(\check{V})_\sigma = 0$ $w=1$	\mathcal{H}
a. $f_{i0.3}.h_{i0.7}m-na_{0.5}$			-0.3	-0.3	-0.6
b. $f_{i0.4}.h_{i1}m-na_{0.5}$		-2			∞
c. $f_{i0.4}.h_{i0.6}m-na_{0.5}$			-0.4	-0.4	-0.8
d. $f_{i0.4}.h_{i0.6}m-na_{0.5}$	-1		-0.5	-0.5	∞

Output: Subject-na Optimization

fi_{0.3}.hí_{0.7}m-na_{0.5} = [fhímna]

Object-na: Optimization

Stem Layer:

Input: = $f_{i0.5}.h_{i0.5}m$	σ $w=\infty$	$ \Delta S \leq 0.1$ $w=\infty$	$S(\check{V}) = 1$ $w=1.0$	$S(\check{V})_\sigma = 0$ $w=1$	\mathcal{H}
a. $f_{i0.6}h_{i0.5}m$			-0.4		-0.4
b. $f_{i1}h_{i0.5}m$		-2			∞
c. $f_{i0.5}.h_{i0.5}m$			-0.5		-0.5
d. $f_{i0.5}.h_{i0.5}m$	-1		-0.5		∞

Word Layer:

Input: = $f_{i0.6}.h_{i0.5}m-na_{0.5}$	σ $w=\infty$	$ \Delta S \leq 0.1$ $w=\infty$	$S(\check{V}) = 1$ $w=1.0$	$S(\check{V})_\sigma = 0$ $w=1$	\mathcal{H}
a. $f_{i0.5}.h_{i0.6}m-na_{0.5}$			-0.4	-0.5	-0.9
b. $f_{i0}.h_{i1}m-na_{0.5}$		-2			∞
c. $f_{i0.6}.h_{i0.5}m-na_{0.5}$			-0.5	-0.6	-1.1
d. $f_{i0.6}.h_{i0.5}m-na_{0.5}$	-1		-0.5	-0.5	∞

Output: Object-*na* Optimization

fi_{0.5}.hí_{0.6}m-na_{0.5} = [fihímna]

Summary

	Stem		Word
/fi _{0.5} .hi _{0.5} m/	⊕ na _{0.5} → fi _{0.4} .hí _{0.6} m-na _{0.5}		→ fi _{0.3} .hí _{0.7} m-na _{0.5} [fhímna]
/fi _{0.5} .hi _{0.5} m/	→ fí _{0.6} .hi _{0.5} m	⊕ na _{0.5}	→ fi _{0.5} .hí _{0.6} m-na _{0.5} [fihímna]
/fi _{0.5} .hi _{0.5} m/	→ fí _{0.6} .hi _{0.5} m		→ fí _{0.7} .hi _{0.5} m [fíhim]

Outlook

Other processes which show Layer Effects

- ▶ Vowel harmony in Tommo So (McPherson and Hayes 2016)
- ▶ English Final Stop Deletion (Guy 1991)
- ▶ Chamorro umlaut and vowel lowering (Chung 1983)

References

- Bermúdez-Otero, R. (2012). The architecture of grammar and the division of labour in exponence. In Trommer, J., editor, *The Morphology and Phonology of Exponence*, pages 8–83. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Brame, M. (1974). The cycle in phonology: Stress in Palestinian, Maltese, and Spanish. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 5:39–60.
- Chung, S. (1983). Transderivational constraints in Chamorro phonology. *Language*, 59(1):35–66.
- Guy, G. R. (1991). Explanation in variable phonology: An exponential model of morphological constraints. *Language Variation and Change*, 3:1–22.
- Kiparsky, P. (1982). From cyclic phonology to lexical phonology. In Goldsmith, J. A., editor, *Phonological Theory: The Essential Readings*, pages 34–62. Malden MA: Blackwell.
- Kiparsky, P. (2000). Opacity and cyclicity. *The Linguistic Review*, 17:351–67.
- McPherson, L. and Hayes, B. (2016). Relating application frequency to morphological structure: the case of tomorro so vowel harmony. *Phonology*, 33(1):125–167.
- Potts, C., Pater, J., Jesney, K., Bhatt, R., and Becker, M. (2010). Harmonic grammar with linear programming: From linear systems to linguistic typology. *Phonology*, 27:77–117.
- Smolensky, P. and Goldrick, M. (2016). Gradient symbolic representations in grammar: The case of French liaison. ROA 1286.
- Smolensky, P. and Legendre, G. (2006). *The Harmonic Mind: From Neural Computation to Optimality-Theoretic Grammar*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.

Overview

Introduction

Analysis

Outlook