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## Talk Outline:

1. Fick's First Law and the Onsager Flux Equations.
2. The meaning of the phenomenological coefficients.
3. Allnatt's Equation for the phenomenological coefficients and the Einstein Equation.
4. Correlation effects in phenomenological coefficients and in tracer diffusion coefficients.
5. How to make use of phenomenological coefficients:

- The Darken and Manning approaches.
- The Sum-Rule.

6. Some applications.
7. Conclusions.

## Fick's First Law (1855):

$$
J_{i}=-D_{i} \frac{d C_{i}}{d x}
$$

Because it does not recognize all of the direct and indirect driving forces acting on species i, Fick's First Law is frequently insufficient as a condition for describing the flux.

The actual driving force for diffusion is not the concentration gradient but the chemical potential gradient.

The Onsager (1934) Flux Equations of irreversible processes provide the general formalism through the postulate of linear relations between the fluxes and the driving forces:

$$
\mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{i}}=\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{j}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{ij}}
$$

(reciprocity condition)
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ : the phenomenological coefficients (independent of driving force)
$X_{j}$ : the driving forces

Consider a binary system AB. The Onsager Flux Equations are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{A}=L_{A A} X_{A}+L_{A B} X_{B} \\
& J_{B}=L_{B B} X_{B}+L_{A B} X_{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider a hypothetical situation where $A$ is charged and $B$ is not, and the system is placed in an electric field $E$.

The driving forces are then: $X_{A}=-q_{A} E$ and $X_{B}=0$
The fluxes are then: $J_{A}=-L_{A A} q_{A} E$ and $J_{B}=-L_{A B} q_{A} E$
The $A$ atoms respond only to the direct force $q_{A} E$. The $B$ atoms only respond to the indirect force $q_{A} E$ and are then 'dragged along' by the $\mathbf{A}$ atoms.

## What are these phenomenological coefficients?

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\frac{\left\langle\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\rangle}{\mathbf{6 V k T t}} \tag{Allnatt1982}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R_{i}$ : the 'collective displacement' or displacement of the center-of-mass of species $i$ in time $t$.
E.g.

$$
L_{\mathrm{AA}}=\frac{\left\langle\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}\right\rangle}{6 \mathrm{VkTt}} \quad L_{\mathrm{AB}}=\frac{\left\langle\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}} \cdot R_{\mathrm{B}}\right\rangle}{6 \mathrm{VkTt}}
$$

If the moving A species does not interfere with the moving $B$ species
e.g. $A$ and $B$ do not compete for the same defects
or $A$ and $B$ do not interact (i.e. different sublattices)

$$
\Rightarrow \quad<\mathbf{R}_{A} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{B}}>=0 \quad \text { and } \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{AB}}=0
$$

However, in most cases in solid-state diffusion the off-diagonal coefficients can be significant. They can be positive or negative.

$$
L_{\mathrm{ij}}=\frac{\left\langle\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\rangle}{6 \mathrm{VkTt}}
$$

Allnatt's (1982) equation for the $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is a generalization of the Einstein (1905) equation for the tracer or self-diffusion coefficient:


The Einstein Equation is frequently used in Molecular Dynamics simulations, see Poster 31: Zhao et al., Poster 37: Leroy et al., Poster 38: Leroy et al., Poster 54: Plant et al., Poster 42: Chihara et al., Poster 39: Habasaki et al.

The relationship between the Einstein Equation and the Allnatt Equation can be appreciated if we consider a binary system of $A^{*}$ and $A$ in which we allow the tracer $A^{*}$ concentration to be very low.

Then we would have that: $L_{\mathrm{A}^{*} \mathrm{~A}^{*}}=\frac{\mathrm{D}^{*}}{\mathrm{VkT}}$

In solid-state diffusion, the motion of the atoms normally takes place by discrete jumps or hops (often called the 'hopping model').


Defects such as vacancies provide the vehicles for atom motion.
The hopping model is frequently used directly or indirectly in the modelling of solid state diffusion, see Poster 18: Maas et al., Poster 28: Sholl, Poster 41: Kalnin et al., Poster 49: Radchenko et al.


In solid-state diffusion, the motion of the atoms normally takes place by discrete jumps or hops (often called the 'hopping model').


It is usual then to partition diffusion coefficients such as the tracer diffusion coefficient in the following way:

```
Dj* = fij (Z c
correlated part uncorrelated part
```

Z: coordination number
$\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{v}}$ : vacancy concentration
$\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{j}}$ : exchange frequency of an atom of type j with a vacancy
a: jump distance
$f_{j}$ : tracer correlation factor of atoms of type $j$. It is an expression of the correlation between the directions of the successive jumps of a given atom of type $j$.

The tracer correlation factor can be expressed in terms of the cosine of the angle between the 'first' jump and all subsequent jumps of a given atom (the tracer):

$$
f=1+2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}<\cos \theta^{(m)}>
$$



Example of the convergence of the cosine between the first tracer A jump and the m'th tracer A jump. .

## Phenomenological coefficients can be partitioned in a similar way to diffusion coefficients:


$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ij}}{ }^{(j)}$ : collective correlation factor. It is an expression of the correlation between the directions of successive jumps of the centers-of-mass of the species present.

The collective correlation factors can be expressed in terms of the cosine of the angle between the 'first' jump and all subsequent jumps of the same species (diagonal factor) or another species (off-diagonal factor)

Diagonal collective correlation factor:

$$
\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{ii}}=1+2 \sum_{\mathrm{m}=1}^{\infty}<\cos \theta_{\mathrm{ii}}^{(\mathrm{m})}>
$$

Off-diagonal collective correlation factor (binary case only):

$$
\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{AB}}^{(\mathrm{A})}=\sum_{\mathrm{m}=1}^{\infty}<\cos \theta_{A B}^{(m)}>+\frac{\mathbf{C}_{B} \mathbf{n}_{B}}{\mathbf{C}_{A} n_{A}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}<\cos \theta_{\mathrm{BA}}^{(\mathrm{m})}>
$$



Example of the convergence of the cosine between the first collective jump and the m'th collective jump (of the same species A).

The phenomenological coefficients are extremely difficult to measure directly in the solid state.

If we want them, how do we proceed?

- First Strategy: Find relations between the phenomenological coefficients and the (measurable) tracer diffusion coefficients:


## Example 1: The Darken Relations (1948) :

$$
\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{i i}}=\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{i}}^{*} / \mathbf{k T}
$$

$$
L_{i j}=\mathbf{0}
$$

## Example 2: The Manning Relations (1971)

 for the random alloy:$$
\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{ii}}=\frac{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{i}}^{*}}{\mathbf{k T}}\left(1+\frac{2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{i}}^{*}}{\mathbf{M}_{0} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{k}}^{*}}\right)
$$

$$
\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\frac{2 \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{i}}^{*} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{j}}^{*}}{\mathrm{kT}\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}} \sum_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}^{*}\right)}
$$

The Manning Relations can also be derived on the basis of two 'intuitive' assumptions without recourse to the random alloy model (Lidiard 1986). They have also been derived for binary ordered structures (Belova and Murch 1997)

- Second Strategy: Find relations between the phenomenological coefficients themselves in order to reduce their number.


## $\Rightarrow$ 'Sum-Rules'



Possible vacancy jumps after time $t$

Initial vacancy-atom jump
Vector summation

$$
\text { of } \stackrel{\leftrightarrows}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square}}=0
$$

Schematic illustration for the origin of the 'Sum-Rule'.

The 'Sum-Rule' for the phenomenological coefficients in a multicomponent random system is (Moleko and Allnatt 1986):

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{M} \mathbf{L}_{i j} \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{j}} / \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{V}}
$$

For the binary system, the 'Sum-Rule' is:

$$
\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AA}}=\frac{\mathrm{Nc} \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{V}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{a}^{2}}{\mathrm{kT}}-\frac{\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{A}}}{\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{B}}} \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AB}}, \quad \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{BB}}=\frac{\mathrm{Nc}_{\mathrm{V}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{a}^{2}}{\mathrm{kT}}-\frac{\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{A}}} \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AB}}
$$

In the binary system there is then only one independent phenomenological coefficient, not three.
(In the ternary random system there are three independent phenomenological coefficients, not six.)

## Analogous ‘Sum-Rule’ expressions have since been

 derived for:- Diffusion via divacancies in the random alloy (Belova and Murch 2005).
- Diffusion via dumb-bell interstitials in the random alloy (Sharma, Chaturvedi, Belova and Murch 2000).
- Diffusion via vacancy-pairs in strongly ionic compounds (Belova and Murch 2004).
- Diffusion via vacancies in substitutional intermetallics (Belova and Murch 2001, Allnatt, Belova and Murch 2005).
- Diffusion via vacancies in the five-frequency impurity diffusion model (Belova and Murch 2005).


## Application of the Onsager flux equations and the Sum-Rule (binary alloy):

The Onsager flux equations are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{A}}=\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AA}} \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AB}} \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{B}} \\
& \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{B}}=\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{B B}} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{B}}+\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AB}} \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{A}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
X_{i}=-\frac{\partial \mu_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}=-\frac{k T}{C_{i}} \frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\left(1+\frac{\partial \ln \gamma}{\partial \ln c}\right)
$$

The intrinsic diffusion coefficients (found from the Kirkendall shift and the interdiffusion coefficient) are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{A}^{I}=k T\left(\frac{\mathbf{L}_{A A}}{C_{A}}-\frac{\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AB}}}{\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{B}}}\right) \phi \\
& \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{kT}\left(\frac{\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{BB}}}{\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{B}}}-\frac{\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AB}}}{\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{A}}}\right) \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

Local lattice reference frame

$$
\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{i}}=-\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{I}} \frac{\partial \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}
$$

$\phi$ is the 'thermodynamic factor':

$$
\phi=\left(1+\frac{\partial \ln \gamma}{\partial \ln \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{B}}}\right)
$$

The ratio of the intrinsic diffusion coefficients is:

$$
\frac{D_{A}^{I}}{D_{B}^{I}} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{c}_{B} L_{A A}-c_{A} L_{A B}}{\mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{A}} L_{B B}-\mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AB}}}
$$

Application of the Sum-Rule then gives (Belova and Murch 1997):

$$
\frac{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathrm{I}}}{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{I}}}=\frac{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{A}}}{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{B}}}
$$

Measurement of the ratio of the intrinsic diffusivities directly thus gives the ratio of the exchange frequencies. There are no complicating correlation factors.

If we had simply used the Darken relations (where all off-diagonal phenomenological coefficients are put equal to zero) we would then have obtained:

$$
\frac{\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{I}}}{\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{I}}}=\frac{\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{A}}^{*}}{\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{B}}^{*}}
$$

(This is a very rough approximation)

## The interdiffusion coefficient:

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}=\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{I}}+\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{I}} \quad \quad \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{A}}^{0}=-\widetilde{\mathbf{D}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{A}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}=-\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{B}}^{0} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Lab. reference } \\
& \text { frame }
\end{aligned}
$$

After application of the Allnatt Equation for the $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{i j}}=\frac{\left\langle\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{j}}\right\rangle}{6 \mathbf{V k T t}} \\
\Rightarrow & \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}=\frac{<\left(\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{A}}-\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{B}}\right)^{2}>}{6 \mathbf{N t c}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}} \phi \quad \text { Belova and Murch } 1998
\end{aligned}
$$

This general equation for the interdiffusion coefficient in a binary alloy is, in effect, an extension of the Einstein Equation (1905).

## With direct access to the ratio of the atom-vacancy exchange frequencies, one can also use a diffusion kinetics theory to gain access to the tracer correlation factors:

The Ag-Cd system:

$\Rightarrow C d$ is more correlated (more jump reversals) in its motion than Ag.

Demixing of $A$ and $B$ cations in ( $A, B$ ) $O$ in an oxygen potential gradient (gives a gradient of cation vacancies):



Analysis of demixing of $A$ and $B$ cations in a mixed oxide ( $A, B$ ) $O$ in an oxygen potential gradient and electric field:

## Onsager Flux Equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{A}}=-\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{A A}}\left(\nabla \mu_{\mathrm{A}}-\nabla \mu_{\mathrm{V}}-\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathbf{E}\right)-\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{A B}}\left(\nabla \mu_{\mathrm{B}}-\nabla \mu_{\mathrm{V}}-\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{E}\right), \\
& \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{B}}=-\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{B B}}\left(\nabla \mu_{\mathbf{B}}-\nabla \mu_{\mathrm{V}}-\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{E}\right)-\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{A B}}\left(\nabla \mu_{\mathrm{A}}-\nabla \mu_{\mathrm{V}}-\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathbf{E}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mu_{i}$ : chemical potential of component $i$ (A, B or $V$ (vacancies)
E: Electric field
$q_{i}$ : charge on component $i$

Steady-State Condition: $\quad \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{i}}-\mathbf{v c} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{N}=\mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{i}=A, B$

$$
\Rightarrow \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
\nabla\left(\mu_{\mathrm{A}}-\mu_{\mathrm{V}}-\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathbf{E}\right) \\
\nabla\left(\mu_{\mathrm{B}}-\mu_{\mathrm{V}}-\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathbf{E}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AA}} & \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AB}} \\
\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AB}} & \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{BB}}
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
-\mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathbf{v N} \\
-\mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathbf{v N}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Application of the Sum-Rule gives simply that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla\left(\mu_{\mathbf{A}}-\mu_{\mathbf{V}}\right)=-\frac{\mathbf{v N k T}}{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{a}^{2}}+\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{E} \\
& \nabla\left(\mu_{\mathbf{B}}-\mu_{\mathbf{V}}\right)=-\frac{\mathbf{v N k T}}{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{a}^{2}}+\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{E}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now assume random mixing of the two cations.
The demixed steady-state composition profile of, say, $A$, is given by:

$$
\Rightarrow \quad \frac{\mathbf{d c}_{\mathbf{A}}}{\mathbf{d} \xi}=\frac{\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{v N}}{\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{a}^{2}}\left(\frac{\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}}-1}{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{A}}}+\frac{\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}}{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{B}}}\right)+\frac{\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}\left(\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{A}}-\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{B}}\right) \mathbf{E}}{\mathbf{k T}}
$$

## Steady-state demixed profile of $\mathbf{C o}$ in $(\mathbf{C o}, \mathbf{M g}) \mathrm{O}$ in an

 oxygen potential gradient (Experimental data: Schmalzried et al. 1979).

Initial composition: $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{C}_{0}}=0.51$
The fitting parameter is $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{Co}} / \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{Mg}}$.

## Steady-state demixed profile of $\mathbf{C o}$ in $(\mathbf{C o}, \mathbf{N i}) \mathrm{O}$ in an

 electric field (Experimental data: Martin 2000).

Initial composition: $\mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{Co}}=0.93 \quad$ The fitting parameter is $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{Co}} / \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{Ni}}$.

Analysis of interdiffusion in a strongly ionic diffusion couple AZ-BZ:


- The cations $A$ and $B$ diffuse via vacancies on the cation sublattice.
- The anions $Z$ diffuse via vacancies on the anion sublattice.

The Onsager Flux Equations are (we assume that $q A=q B=-q Z$ ):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{A}}=\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{A A}} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{A}}+\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{A B}} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{B}} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { where for } \\
\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{B}}
\end{array}=\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{B B}} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{B}}+\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{A B}} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{A}}
\end{array} \begin{aligned}
& \text { the internal } \\
& \text { forces we have: }
\end{aligned} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{B}}=-\nabla \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{B}}+\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{}=\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{Z}}=-\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{E} .
$$

Application of the electro-neutrality conditions and the Gibbs-Duhem relation gives for the intrinsic diffusion coefficients (e.g. Belova and Murch 2004):

$$
\mathbf{J}_{A}=-D_{A}^{\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{N} \nabla \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{A}} \quad \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{B}}=-\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{I}} \mathbf{N} \nabla \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{B}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{I}}=\frac{\phi \mathbf{k T} \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{Z}}}{\mathbf{N c}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{B}}}\left(\frac{\left.\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AA}} \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{BB}}-\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AB}}^{2}+\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AA}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{B}}-\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AB}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{A}}\right) / \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right)}{\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AA}}+\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{BB}}+2 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{AB}}+\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}}\right) \\
& \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{I}}=\frac{\phi \mathbf{k T c _ { \mathrm { Z } }}}{\mathrm{Nc}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{B}}}\left(\frac{\left.\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AA}} \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{BB}}-\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AB}}^{2}+\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{BB}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AB}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{B}}\right) / \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right)}{\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AA}}+\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{BB}}+2 \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AB}}+\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\phi$ : thermodynamic factor

- Application of the Sum-Rule to the ratio of the intrinsic diffusion coefficients gives:

$$
\frac{D_{A}^{I}}{D_{B}^{I}}=\frac{\mathbf{w}_{A}\left(w_{B}+w_{Z}\right)}{\mathbf{w}_{B}\left(w_{A}+w_{Z}\right)}
$$

where $w_{Z}$ is the anion vacancy exchange frequency.

1. For the limiting case $w_{Z} \gg w_{A}\left(w_{B}\right)$, (anion mobility is relatively high):

$$
\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathrm{I}} / \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{I}}=\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{A}} / \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{B}} .
$$

(this is the same result as for the binary alloy)
$\Rightarrow$ The mobility on the anion sublattice no longer determines the rate of cation interdiffusion).
2. For the limiting case $\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{Z}} \ll \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$, (anion mobility is relatively low):

$$
\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{B}^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{I}}
$$

The almost immobile anion sublattice requires that the fluxes of the cations $A$ and $B$ are equal and opposite.
$\Rightarrow$ no net cation vacancy flux and no marker shift in interdiffusion.

## The interdiffusion coefficient:

The general expression for $\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}$ in a strongly ionic interdiffusion couple (AZ-BZ):
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{A}^{I}=\frac{L_{A A}}{c_{A}}-\frac{L_{A B}}{c_{B}} \quad d_{B}^{I}=\frac{L_{B B}}{c_{B}}-\frac{L_{A B}}{c_{A}} \\
& \kappa=q_{A}^{2} L_{A A}+q_{B}^{2} L_{B B}+2 q_{A} q_{B} L_{A B}+q_{Z}^{2} L_{Z Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Allnatt's (1982) equation for the phenomenological coefficients:

$$
L_{\mathrm{ij}}=\frac{\left\langle\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\rangle}{6 \mathrm{VkTt}}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \quad \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}=\frac{\mathbf{k} \mathbf{T} \phi \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{Z Z}}}{\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{Z}}} \frac{<\left(\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{A}}-\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{B}}\right)\left(\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{A}}-\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{B}}\right)>}{<\left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{A}}+\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{B}}\right)^{2}>+<\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{2}>}
$$

$$
\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{i}}=\frac{\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{2} \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{ii}}^{(0)}}{\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{Z Z}}}+\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}
$$

There are two limiting cases to consider:
I. When the anions are much more mobile than the cations (Belova and Murch 2005) :

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}=\frac{\left\langle\left(\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{A}}-\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{B}}\right)^{2}>\right.}{6 \mathbf{N t c}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{Z}}} \phi
$$

II. When the cations are much more mobile than the anions (Belova and Murch 2005) :

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}=\frac{\phi \mathbf{N} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{a}^{2} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{V a}}<\left(\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{A}}-\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{B}}\right)\left(\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{A}}-\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{B}}\right)>}{\mathbf{t c}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}<\left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{A}}+\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{B}}\right)^{2}>}
$$

These equations for the interdiffusion coefficients in a strongly ionic compound are, in effect, extensions of the Einstein Equation (1905).

We consider further the case where the anions are much slower than the cations, e.g. in silicates, glasses, transition metal oxides.

We apply the Sum-Rule and make use of the accurate self consistent diffusion kinetics theory of Moleko et al. (1989):

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{\mathrm{kT} \phi\left(\mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)}{\mathrm{Nc}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{B}}} \frac{\mathbf{f}_{0} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{AB}}}{\left(1-\mathbf{f}_{0}\right)}
$$

Belova and Murch 2004:
$f_{0}$ : the geometric tracer correlation factor (depends on lattice only)
If $L_{A B}$ were to be neglected (this is the Darken approximation) it would be equivalent to implying that interdiffusion is impossible.

Direct access is now possible to $L_{A B}$ in transition metal oxides, oxides, in silicates, in glasses etc, i.e. whenever the anion mobility is low compared with the cations.

Example: Extraction of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{AB}}$ from the interdiffusion coefficient in ( $\mathrm{CoO}-\mathrm{NiO}$ ).


Expt. : J.J. Stiglich, Jr. et al. (1973).

## Some other results that can be obtained at the same approximation level for strongly ionic compounds when the cations are much more mobile than the anions:

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}=\frac{\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{A}^{*}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{B}^{*}}}{\mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{A}^{\prime}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{A}^{*}}+\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{B}^{*}}} \phi
$$

(This is the Nernst-Planck
Equation)
and

$$
\widetilde{D}=\frac{D_{A^{*}} D_{B^{*}} q^{2} C_{i o n}}{f_{0} \sigma_{d c} k T} \phi
$$

The testing of these equations in, say, a silicate, would require measurements of the tracer diffusion coefficients, the interdiffusion coefficient, the ionic conductivity and the thermodynamic factor.

## Conclusions:

- The Onsager flux expressions and Allnatt's equation for the phenomenological coefficients can rightly be considered generalizations of Fick's First Law and the Einstein Equation
- The Onsager flux expressions and Allnatt's equation, together with the Sum-rule, bring substantial simplifications to many chemical diffusion problems.

