

## Unexpected applicatives and morphological compositionality in Adyghe

Yury Lander

Institute of Oriental Studies RAS, Moscow, yulander @ yandex.ru

### 1. Adyghe: general information on its morphology

Northwest Caucasian, a close relative of Kabardian and distant relative of Abkhaz and Abaza

Some sources in Western languages: Smeets 1984; Paris 1989.

The basic source in Russian: Rogava & Keraševa 1966

Adyghe polysynthesis:

- Adyghe allows a high degree of morphological complexity

The “longest word in Adyghe” according to Malaichet Pkhachiyash:<sup>1</sup>

- (1) sə-qə-ze-re-šha-pə-rə-wəḵ<sub>w</sub>ereje-č'ə-ž'ə-ka-ke-r  
1SG.ABS-DIR-REL.IO-INS-'HEAD'-LOC-INS-fall-AWAY-RE-PST-PST-ABS  
'that I had turned a somersault' (at least 13 morphemes)

...is not the longest:

- (2) wə-qə-ze-re-šha-pə-rə-z-ke-wəḵ<sub>w</sub>ereje-č'ə-ž'ə-š<sub>w</sub>ə-ka-ke-r  
2SG.ABS-DIR-REL.IO-INS-HEAD-LOC-INS-1SG.A-CAUS-fall-AWAY-RE-HBL-PST-PST-ABS  
'that I was able to make you turn a somersault' (at least 16 morphemes)

- speakers presumably may add affixes in the course of speech:
  - (i) high degree of variation in the capacity of constructing very complex forms and partly in the use of affixes; cf. Lander & Gerasimov in prep.;
  - (ii) affix-by-affix pronunciation;
  - (iii) speakers themselves recognize the fact of constructing words in the course of speech.

NB: Morphology is still contrasted to syntax:

sometimes speakers can choose between the morphological and syntactic strategies.

The syntactic strategy of the introduction of the beneficiary (postposition):

- (3) s<sub>w</sub>əretəšə-m [Ø-jə-nəbžek<sub>w</sub>ə-xe-m a-paje] s<sub>w</sub>əret ə-šə-ḵ  
painter-OBL [3SG.PR-POSS-friend-PL-OBL 3PL-for] picture 3SG.A-make-PST  
'(That) painter drew a picture for his friends.'

---

<sup>1</sup> Abbreviations: A - agent, ABS - absolutive, AUX - auxiliary morpheme, BEN - benefactive, CAUS - causative, CNV - converb, COM - comitative, DIR - directive, DYN - dynamic, FUT - future, HBL - habilitive/potential, INS - instrumental, IO - indirect object, LOC - locative, NEG - negation, OBL - oblique case, OPV - general oblique preverb, PL - plural, POSS - possessive, PR - possessor, PRED - predicative, PST - past, RE - refractive/reversive ('back'), REC - reciprocal, REL - relative, RFL - reflexive, SG - singular.

The morphological strategy of the introduction of the beneficiary (applicative complex (roughly) = cross-reference prefix + applicative marker):

- (4) s<sub>w</sub>əretəʂə-m Ø-jə-nəbʒək<sub>w</sub>ə-xe-m s<sub>w</sub>əret [a-f-]jə-ʂə-ɤ  
 painter-OBL 3SG.PR-POSS-friend-PL-OBL picture [3PL.IO-BEN]-3SG.A-make-PST  
 ‘(That) painter drew a picture for his friends.’

- Some parts of morphology display special properties.

The Adyghe verb consists of several morphological zones. Some zones represent “productive non-inflectional concatenation” according to de Reuse (2006; 2009); cf. Korotkova & Lander 2009Ms.

## 2. Productive non-inflectional concatenative applicatives

[1] Productive.

[2] Allow recursion (see Lander & Letuchiy forthc.):

- (5) [a-də]-[zə-de]-s-e-hə  
 [3PL.IO-COM]-[RFL.IO-COM]-1SG.A-DYN-carry  
 ‘I am carrying (this) with me together with them’

[3] Necessarily concatenative.

[4] Variable order of elements is possible:

- (6) [Ø-fə]-[Ø-š’]-a-ʂə-ɤ = [Ø-š’ə]-[Ø-f]-a-ʂə-ɤ  
 [3SG.IO-BEN]-[3SG.IO-LOC]-3PL.A-make-PST [3SG.IO-LOC]-[3SG.IO-BEN]-3PL.A-make-PST  
 ‘They made (this) there for him/her.’

[5] Syntactically active.

Various kinds of evidence that cross-reference prefixes function as arguments (Lander 2005). In particular, coreference is established by prefixes belonging to the same paradigms. Cf. reflexive (5) and relative:

- (7) ʔ<sub>w</sub>ef (zə-de)-s-ʂa-ɤe-xe č’ale-xe-r  
 work [REL.IO-COM]-1SG.A-do-PST-PL boy-PL-ABS  
 ‘the children with whom I worked’

[6] Morphology can change the category.

(This does not apply to applicatives, which serve as “morphological adjuncts”; cf. O’Herin’s (2001) representation of Abaza applicatives as incorporated PPs)

NB: Unlike “canonical applicatives”, Adyghe applicatives add indirect objects rather than direct objects and need not affect the already established part of the argument structure.

The use of applicatives as demoting agents:

- (8) ə-tə-š't-ep 'S/he will not give (this).'  
3SG.A-give-FUT-NEG

Agent “downgraded” to the applicative object (cf. non-canonical marking of agents in other languages):

- (9) [∅-fe]-tə-š't-ep 'S/he will not be able to give (this).'  
[3SG.IO-BEN]-give-FUT-NEG

Conclusion: In general, applicative morphology is compositional, but:

### 3. Unexpected applicative 1: Reciprocal formation

The traditional view (Letuchiy 2007 inter alia):

1. In order to establish the reciprocal relations between the absolutive argument or the agent and the indirect object, the indirect object prefix must be replaced with the reciprocal prefix ze-. Examples are from Letuchiy 2007:

- (10) sə-[(∅)š'ə]-g<sub>w</sub>ə<sub>w</sub>e-ž'ə 'I rely on him.'  
1SG.ABS-3SG.IO-LOC-rely-RE

- (11) tə-[(ze)š'ə]-g<sub>w</sub>ə<sub>w</sub>e-ž'ə-x 'We rely on each other.'<sup>2</sup>  
1PL.ABS-REC.IO-LOC-rely-RE-PL

2. In order to establish the reciprocal relations between the agent and the undergoer in the transitive verb, the agent prefix must be replaced with the reciprocal prefix zere-.

- (12) se wəne-r (s)λe<sub>w</sub>ə-<sub>w</sub>e 'I saw the house.'  
I house-ABS 1SG.A-see-PST

- (13) s-jə-šewə<sub>w</sub>ə-xe-r (zere)λe<sub>w</sub>ə-š'tə-<sub>w</sub>e-x 'My friends (often) saw each other.'  
1SG.PR-POSS-friend-PL-ABS REC.A-see-AUX-PST-PL

But:

- The reciprocal prefix zere- would have very atypical morphemic structure (CVCV is typical for two prefixes but not for a single prefix).

- The traditional representation goes against the overall tendency (observed in Adyghe just as in other languages) whereby the agent usually serves as controller in reflexive and reciprocal constructions.

Lander & Letuchiy to appear:

The segment zere- is actually the result of the demotion of agent by means of the instrumental prefix rə-/re- and its subsequent reciprocalization.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>2</sup> The same form can have reflexive semantics: ‘We rely on ourselves.’ See Letuchiy 2007 for details.

<sup>3</sup> Lander & Letuchiy also give formal evidence for this representation based on the possibility of interruption of ze- and re- in certain exceptional contexts.

(14) s-jə-šewek<sub>w</sub>ə-xe-r [ze-re]-lek<sub>w</sub>ə-š'tə-ke-x 'My friends (often) saw  
 1SG.PR-POSS-friend-PL-ABS REC.IO-INS-see-AUX-PST-PL each other.'

Problem:

- The instrumental applicative complex is never used for demotion of the agent argument where the corresponding argument is not reciprocalized.

#### 4. Unexpected applicatives 2-4: Relative constructions

##### 4.1 Relativization of DESTINATION POINT

- The DESTINATION participant is normally not cross-referenced with motion verbs:

(15) a-š' sə-k<sub>w</sub>a-ɛ 'I went there.'  
 that-OBL 1SG.ABS-go-PST

- Relativization of the DESTINATION participant requires its introduction by a locative applicative:

(16) sə-[zə-de]-k<sub>w</sub>a-ɛ-r '(the place) where I went'  
 1SG.ABS-[REL.IO-LOC]-go-PST-ABS

- Such applicative is considered infelicitous if the DESTINATION participant is not relativized:

(17) \*sə-[∅-de]-k<sub>w</sub>a-ɛ-r (expected: 'I went there.')

\*1SG.ABS-[3SG.IO-LOC]-go-PST-ABS

Problem:

- The applicative introducing the DESTINATION participant seems to be restricted to relative constructions.

##### 4.2. MANNER and FACT relativization

The traditional view: MANNER and FACT participles are marked by the prefix zere- (cf. Caponigro & Polinsky 2008).

(18) se z-ke-šek<sub>w</sub>a-ɛ [anzwere zer-∅-je-že-re-r]  
 I 1SG.A-CAUS-wonderful-PST Anzor ZERE-3SG.IO-OPV-read-DYN-ABS  
 MANNER: 'I marveled how Anzor was reading.'  
 FACT: 'I marveled that Anzor was reading (that).'

Gerasimov & Lander 2007: zere- is a sequence of the relative prefix and the instrumental applicative prefix.

(19) se z-ke-šek<sub>w</sub>a-ɛ [anzwere ze-r-∅-je-že-re-r]  
 I 1SG.A-CAUS-wonderful-PST Anzor REL.IO-INS-3SG.IO-OPV-read-DYN-ABS

Problem:

- The applicative introducing MANNER and FACT seems to be restricted to relative constructions.

### 4.3. Relativization out of embedded clauses

- Relativization out of embedded clauses normally requires the presence of a relativized coreferent argument in the matrix clause (Lander to appear).

Relativized applicative indirect object in the embedded clause +  
relativized applicative indirect object in the matrix clause

(20) wə-zə-š'ə-psew-ew                      melaç'e      wə-z-š'ə-mə-λe-š'tə-r  
2SG.ABS-REL.IO-LOC-live-PRED    starvation    2SG.ABS-REL.IO-LOC-NEG-die-FUT-ABS  
'a house such that you will not die of starvation while living there'  
Literally: 'a house where you will not die of starvation while living where'

\* Relativized applicative indirect object in the embedded clause &  
no relativized argument in the matrix clause

(21) \*wə-zə-š'ə-psew-ew                      melaç'e      wə-mə-λe-š'tə-r  
2SG.ABS-REL.IO-LOC-live-PRED    starvation    2SG.ABS-NEG-die-FUT-ABS  
Literally: 'a house you will not die of starvation while living where'

- Occasionally the requirement of a relativized argument in the matrix clause leads to the appearance of an applicative that normally does not appear in the independent sentences.

Independent sentence without an applicative in the matrix predicate:

(22) pšaše-m    s-Ø-je-pλ-ze                                      sə-λepewa-κ  
girl-OBL    1SG.ABS-3SG.IO-OPV-look.at-CNV    1SG.ABS-stumble-PST  
'While looking at the girl, I stumbled.'

Relative construction with a relativized applicative object in the matrix predicate:

(23) pšaš-ew    sə-z-e-pλ-ze                                      sə-z-fə-λepewa-κe-r  
girl-PRED    1SG.ABS-REL.IO-OPV-look.at-CNV    1SG.ABS-3SG.IO-BEN-stumble-PST-ABS  
'the girl such that while looking at her I stumbled (lit. for her)'

\*Independent sentence with the relevant applicative in the matrix predicate:

(24) \*pšaše-m    s-Ø-je-pλ-ze                                      sə-Ø-fə-λepewa-κ  
\*girl-OBL    1SG.ABS-3SG.IO-OPV-look.at-CNV    1SG.ABS-3SG.IO-BEN-stumble-PST

## 5. Discussion

The phenomenon: Applicatives can sometimes appear in derived/marked structures while being infelicitous in simple/unmarked structures.

Challenge: At first glance, this contradicts the semantic transparency of Adyghe applicatives and hence their compositional organization.

- The appearance of certain applicatives in restricted morphosyntactic contexts is itself possible due to the fact that applicatives can be added, i.e. they are not parts of fixed morphological forms. (Where an argument is needed, it can be added.)
- The absence of these applicatives in simple/unmarked forms may be due to the fact that the corresponding arguments may be irrelevant or already presupposed.
- Paradoxically, just because of this unexpected distribution, some of these applicatives probably can “freeze”, become non-compositional and perceived as inflectional markers of certain grammatical forms.

## References

- Caponigro, I. & M. Polinsky. 2008. Almost everything is relative in the Caucasus. Paper presented at Semantics and Linguistic Theory (SALT) 18, Amherst, MA.
- de Reuse, W.J. 2006. Polysynthetic language: Central Siberian Yupik. In K. Brown (ed.), *The Encyclopedia of Language and Linguistics*, vol. 9, 745-748. Oxford: Elsevier.
- de Reuse, W.J. 2009. Polysynthesis as a typological feature: an attempt at a characterization from Eskimo and Athabaskan perspectives. In M.-A. Mahieu & N. Tersis (eds), *Variations on Polysynthesis: The Eskaleut languages*, 19-34. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Gerasimov, D.V. & Yu.A. Lander. 2008. Reljativizacija pod maskoj nominalizaciji i faktivnyj argument v adygejskom jazyke. In V.A. Plungian and S.G. Tatevosov (eds), *Issledovanija po glagol'noj derivaciji*, 290-313. Moscow: Jazyki slavjanskoj kul'tury.
- Korotkova, N.A. & Yu.A. Lander. 2009. Deriving affix ordering in polysynthesis: Evidence from Adyghe. Ms.
- Lander, Yu.A. 2005. “Pronominal'nye argumenty” i “adjunktnye imennye gruppy” v adygejskom jazyke. In: A. Vydrin et al. (eds), *Vtoraja konferencija po tipologii i grammatike dlja molodyx issledovatelej. Materialy*. St.Petersburg: Nauka, pp. 90-95.
- Lander, Yu.A. Forthc. Forthc. Množestvennaja reljativizacija: podlinnaja i mnimaja. In: Ya.G. Testelets et al. (eds.), *Aspekty polisintetizma: Očerki po grammatike adygejskogo jazyka*. Moscow: RGGU.
- Lander, Yu.A. & D.V. Gerasimov. In prep. Adyghe variations.
- Lander, Yu.A. & A.B. Letuchiy. Forthc. Kinds of recursion in Adyghe morphology. In: Harry van der Hulst (ed.), *Recursion and Human Language*. Berlin, N.Y.: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Letuchiy, A.B. 2007. Reciprocals, reflexives, comitatives, and sociatives in Adyghe. In *Reciprocal Constructions*, Vladinir P. Nedjalkov (ed.), 773–811. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- O'Herin, B. 2001 Abaza applicatives. *Language* 77: 477–493.
- Paris, C. 1989. Esquisse grammaticale du dialecte abzakh (tcherkesse occidental). In B.G.Hewitt (ed.), *The Indigenous Languages of the Caucasus*. Vol. 2. *The North West Caucasian Languages*. N.Y.: Caravan Books. P. 154–260.
- Rogava, G.V. and Keraševa, Z.I. 1966. *Grammatika adygejskogo jazyka*. Krasnodar: Krasnodarskoe knižnoe izdatel'stvo.
- Smeets, R. 1984. *Studies in West Circassian Phonology and Morphology*. Leiden: The Hakuchi Press.
- Smeets, R. 1992. On valencies, actants and actant coding in Circassian. In G. Hewitt (ed.), *Caucasian Perspectives*, 98-144. München: Lincom Europa.