Reflexes of Successive-Cyclic Movement

Gereon Müller

Institut für Linguistik
Universität Leipzig

Some References

Lit.: McCloskey (1979; 2002), Sells (1984), Clements et al. (1983), Collins (1993; 1994), Chung (1994; 1998), Cole & Hermon (2000), Fanselow & Ćavar (2001), Sabel (2000), Bouma, Malouf & Sag (2001), Dalrymple (2001, ch. 14), Schneider-Zioga (2005), Lahne (2009), Georgi (2014; 2017), Urk (2015), Korsah & Murphy (2016), many others.

Complementizers in Modern Irish

(1) Movement-related morphology in Modern Irish:

    a. Creidim gu-r   inis sé bréag
       I-believe C:go-PAST tell he lie
       'I believe that he told a lie.'

    b. Céacu $ceann_1$ a   dhíol tú t_1 ?
       which one   C:aL sold you
       'Which one did you sell?'

    c. an t-ainm OP_1 a   hinnseadh dúinn a   bhí t_1 ar an áit
       the name   C:aL was told to us C:aL was   on the place
       'the name that we were told was on the place'

    d. Céacu ceann a   bhfuil dúil agat   ann ?
       which one   C:aN is   liking at you in it
       'Which one do you like?'

Complementizers in Modern Irish

(1) Movement-related morphology in Modern Irish:

    a. Creidim gu-r   inis sé bréag
       I-believe C:go-PAST tell he lie
       'I believe that he told a lie.'

    b. Céacu $ceann_1$ a   dhíol tú t_1 ?
       which one   C:aL sold you
       'Which one did you sell?'

    c. an t-ainm Op_1 a   hinnseadh dúinn a   bhí t_1 ar an áit
       the name   C:aL was told to us C:aL was   on the place
       'the name that we were told was on the place'

    d. Céacu ceann a   bhfuil dúil agat   ann ?
       which one   C:aN is   liking at you in it
       'Which one do you like?'

Analysis in McCloskey (2002, 203):
(i) C which bears both the Op-feature and the EPP-feature is realized as aL.
(ii) C which bears only the EPP-feature is realized as aN.
(iii) C which bears neither the Op-feature nor the EPP-feature is realized as go.

Little v in Malay

(2)   Movement-related morphology in Malay:

     a.   Ali mem-beritahu kamu tadi [Fatimah mem-baca apa$_1$] ?
         Ali meN-told   you   just   Fatimah meN-read what

     b.   Apa$_1$ (yang) Ali (*mem)-beritahu kamu tadi [(yang) Fatimah
         what that   Ali (meN)-told   you   just   that   Fatimah
         (*mem)-baca t$_1$] ?
           meN-read

     c.   Ali (mem)-beritahu kamu tadi [apa$_1$ (yang) Fatimah (*mem)-baca
         Ali (meN)-told   you   just   what that   Fatimah   meN-read
         t$_1$] ?

Complementizers in Kinande

(3)   Movement-related morphology in Kinande (Bantu) (wh-agreement):

    a. [$_{CP}$ Ekihi$_1$ [$_C$ kyo] Kambale alangira t$_1$] ?
           what   that Kambale saw
       'What did Kambale see?'

    b. [$_{CP}$ Iyondi$_1$ [$_C$ yo] Kambale alangira t$_1$] ?
           who   that Kambale saw
       'Who did Kambale see?

    c. [$_{CP}$ Ekihi$_1$ [$_C$ kyo] Marya alengekanaya [$_{CP}$ t$_1$ nga-kyo Kambale
           what   that Mary thinks   C-that Kambale
      asoma t$_1$]] ?
      read
      'What does Mary think that Kambale read?'

Subject Pronouns in Ewe

(4) Movement-related morphology in Ewe:

    a. Kofi$_1$ e   me gble na t$_1$ [$_{CP}$ be   é/*wo fo Kosi]
       Kofi FOC I   said to   that he   hit Kosi
       'It was Kofi that I told that he hit Kosi.'

    b. Kofi$_1$ e   me gble [$_{CP}$ t$_1'$ be é/wo fo t$_1$]
       Kofi FOC I   said   that he   hit
       'It was Kofi that I said that he hit.'

References

Bouma, Gosse, Robert Malouf & Ivan Sag (2001): Satisfying Constraints on Extraction and Adjunction, *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 19, 1–65.

Chung, Sandra (1994): Wh-Agreement and 'Referentiality' in Chamorro, *Linguistic Inquiry* 25, 1–44.

Chung, Sandra (1998): *The Design of Agreement: Evidence from Chamorro*. Chicago University Press, Chicago.

Clements, George, James McCloskey, Joan Maling & Annie Zaenen (1983): String-Vacuous Rule Application, *Linguistic Inquiry* 14, 1–17.

Cole, Peter & Gabriella Hermon (2000): Partial Wh-Movement: Evidence from Malay. In: U. Lutz, G. Müller & A. von Stechow, eds., *Wh-Scope Marking*. Benjamins, Amsterdam, pp. 101–130.

Collins, Chris (1993): Topics in Ewe Syntax. PhD thesis, MIT, Cambridge, Mass.

Collins, Chris (1994): Economy of Derivation and the Generalized Proper Binding Condition, *Linguistic Inquiry* 25, 45–61.

Dalrymple, Mary (2001): *Lexical Functional Grammar*. Vol. 34 of *Syntax and Semantics*, Academic Press, San Diego.

Fanselow, Gisbert & Damir Ćavar (2001): Remarks on the Economy of Pronunciation. In: G. Müller & W. Sternefeld, eds., *Competition in Syntax*. Mouton de Gruyter, Berlin, pp. 107–150.

Georgi, Doreen (2014): Opaque Interactions of Merge and Agree. PhD thesis, Universität Leipzig.

Georgi, Doreen (2017): Patterns of Movement Reflexes as the Result of the Order of Merge and Agree, *Linguistic Inquiry* 48(4).

Korsah, Sampson & Andrew Murphy (2016): What Can Tone Tell Us about Successive-Cyclic Movement? Evidence from Asante Twi. In: C. Hammerly & B. Prickett, eds., *Proceedings of NELS 46*. GLSA, Amherst, pp. 227–240.

Lahne, Antje (2009): *Where There is Fire There is Smoke. Local Modelling of Successive-Cyclic Movement.* PhD thesis, Universität Leipzig.

McCloskey, James (1979): *Transformational Syntax and Model Theoretic Semantics. A Case Study in Modern Irish.* Reidel, Dordrecht.

McCloskey, James (2002): Resumptives, Successive Cyclicity, and the Locality of Operations. In: S. D. Epstein & T. D. Seely, eds., *Derivation and Explanation in the Minimalist Program.* Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 184–226.

Sabel, Joachim (2000): Partial Wh-Movement and the Typology of Wh-Questions. In: U. Lutz, G. Müller & A. von Stechow, eds., *Wh-Scope Marking.* Benjamins, Amsterdam, pp. 409–446.

Schneider-Zioga, Patricia (2005): Wh-Agreement as an Intervention Effect. Ms., University of California, Irvine.

Sells, Peter (1984): *Syntax and Semantics of Resumptive Pronouns.* PhD thesis, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

Urk, Coppe van (2015): *A Uniform Syntax for Phrasal Movement: A Dinka Bor Case Study.* PhD thesis, MIT, Cambridge, Mass.